

Horticulture Proposal Meeting Evaluation Form

Student Name: _____

Date: _____

Committee Member Name: _____

Rating Scale:

1 – Not acceptable: 2 – Improvement needed: 3 – Acceptable: 4 – High quality

Description of rating factors:

1. Not acceptable: Serious shortcomings in rigor or logic of proposal; proposal poorly written; poor presentation (lack of preparation, poor command of background literature, and weak justification for proposed research).
2. Improvement needed: Serious, but correctable shortcomings in organization and logic of proposal; proposal poorly written, but can be re-written; poor presentation skills.
3. Acceptable: Some flaws in writing, approach to research or presentation; but fundamentals of proposal are good and should lead to an acceptable thesis without major change in direction of research after assistance from advisor and/or committee members.
4. High quality: Addresses significant research issue or problem in the plant sciences. Proposal well written and presentation demonstrates good preparation, command of background literature, and good justification for proposed research.

Overall rating score: _____

Comments: _____
