

# **CONTROL OF ANNUAL BLUEGRASS SEEDHEADS ON A GOLF COURSE FAIRWAY USING PLANT GROWTH REGULATOR PRODUCTS AND GROWING DEGREE DAY MODELS, 2019**

T.T. Lulis, K. Tang, and J.E. Kaminski

Department of Plant Science  
The Pennsylvania State University

This study was conducted at the Joseph Valentine Turfgrass Research Center in University Park, PA on annual bluegrass maintained as a golf fairway. Mowing was performed 3 times per week at a height of 0.5 in. Soil rootzone was a silty loam with a pH of 7.6 and 2.1% organic matter. Individual plots measured 3 ft x 6 ft and were arranged as a randomized complete block design with four replications. Treatments were applied with a CO<sup>2</sup>-pressurized backpack sprayer at 40 PSI with a single TeeJet AI9508 EVS nozzle and calibrated to deliver 1.0 gallon of water per 1000 ft<sup>2</sup>. All treatments were initially applied according to a growing degree day model and reapplied on a 2 or 3-week interval. Embark and Primo + Proxy treatments were initiated based on GDD models with a base temperature of 22 (GDD 22) and 32F (GDD 32), respectively. All application dates are listed in the tables. Percent seedhead was visually assessed on a 0 to 100 percent scale where 0 = no seedheads present and 100 = entire plot area covered with seedheads. Turf quality was visually rated using a 1 to 9 scale where 1 = entire plot area dead and 9 = optimum density and uniformity. Color was visually assessed on a 1 to 9 scale where 1 = entire plot brown or dead and 9 = optimum dark green color. Injury was visually assessed on a 0 to 5 scale where 0 = no injury observed, 2 = maximum level of acceptable injury and 5 = entire area brown or dead. All data were subjected to analysis of variance and means separated at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to Fisher's Protected least significant difference test

Seedheads were first observed at the site in late April 2019. Seedhead pressure peaked on 13 May with the nontreated control plots averaging 79% seedhead coverage (Table 1.). On all rating dates, the greatest seedhead suppression was observed within plots treated with Primo + Proxy at 350 GDD and reapplied on a 2-wk interval and those applied at 500 GDD on either the 2- or 3-week interval. Moderate suppression of seedheads was observed within Primo + Proxy treatments initiated at 200 or 250 GDD, regardless of application interval. At peak seedhead activity, plots treated with Embark had between 18 and 61% seedheads, but did not provide the greatest suppression when compared to Primo + Proxy treatments. Injury to the turfgrass occurred within plots treated with Embark was considered moderate, but unacceptable at most timings (acceptable  $\leq 2$ ) (Table 2.) No significant differences in turfgrass quality were observed during the trial (Table 3.). Few significant differences were observed in turfgrass color during the trial (Table 4.).

Table 1. Seedheads on a *Poa annua* fairway following the application of plant growth regulators, 2019.

Treatment and rate per 1000ft <sup>2</sup>		App Code <sup>y</sup>	Percent seedhead <sup>z</sup>					
			29 Apr	6 May	13 May	20 May	27 May	3 Jun
1	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AB	12.3 bc <sup>x</sup>	38.3 b	61.3 b	45.0 b	13.0 c	7.8 bc
2	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DE	10.0 cd	15.5 d	18.3 fgh	16.3 de	8.8 cd	6.5 bcd
3	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AC	9.3 d	40.8 b	22.0 ef	18.0 d	7.8 cde	6.5 bcd
4	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DF	14.3 b	28.3 c	48.8 c	40.0 b	25.8 b	9.3 b
5	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AB						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AB	3.3 e	6.8 e	51.3 c	40.8 b	22.8 b	8.8 b
6	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AC						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AC	5.0 e	8.8 e	20.8 efg	15.8 cde	7.8 cde	4.3 de
7	Nontreated .....	-	17.5 a	50.0 a	78.8 a	65.0 a	48.8 a	18.3 a
8	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DE						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DE	4.5 e	6.5 e	15.8 fgh	13.0 cde	6.5 de	5.0 cde
9	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DF						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DF	11.5 bcd	31.3 c	37.5 d	29.5 c	7.3 de	3.3 e
10	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GH						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GH	4.0 e	5.8 e	12.8 ghi	10.0 ef	4.0 de	3.8 de
11	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GI						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GI	5.3 e	5.8 e	27.0 e	19.5 d	5.8 de	4.0 de
12	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JK						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JK	3.5 e	4.0 e	7.3 i	5.8 f	2.5 e	4.0 de
13	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JL						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JL	3.5 e	8.5 e	10.0 hi	7.5 f	2.8 e	3.0 e

<sup>z</sup> Percent seedhead was visually assessed on a 0 to 100 percent scale where 0 = no seedheads present and 100 = entire plot area covered in seedheads.

<sup>y</sup> Treatments were applied on the following dates: A = 30 Mar, B = 12 Apr, C = 19 Apr, D = 5 Apr, E = 19 Apr, F = 9 May, G = 9 Apr, H = 23 Apr, I = 30 May, J = 17 Apr, K = 1 May, and L = 9 May.

<sup>x</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the Fisher's Protected least significant difference.

Table 2. Turfgrass Injury on a *Poa annua* fairway following the application of plant growth regulators, 2019.

Treatment and rate per 1000ft <sup>2</sup>		App Code <sup>y</sup>	Injury <sup>z</sup>					
			29 Apr	6 May	13 May	20 May	27 May	3 Jun
1	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AB	1.8 b <sup>x</sup>	1.3 b	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
2	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DE	2.5 a	1.8 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
3	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AC	2.3 a	1.8 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
4	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DF	2.3 a	1.5 ab	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
5	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AB						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AB	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
6	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AC						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AC	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
7	Nontreated .....	-	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
8	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DE						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DE	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
9	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DF						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DF	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
10	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GH						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GH	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
11	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GI						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GI	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
12	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JK						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JK	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
13	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JL						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JL	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a

<sup>z</sup> Injury was visually assessed on a 0 to 5 scale where 0 = no injury observed, 2 = maximum level of acceptable injury for a putting green and 5 = entire area brown or dead.

<sup>y</sup> Treatments were applied on the following dates: A = 30 Mar, B = 12 Apr, C = 19 Apr, D = 5 Apr, E = 19 Apr, F = 9 May, G = 9 Apr, H = 23 Apr, I = 30 May, J = 17 Apr, K = 1 May, and L = 9 May.

<sup>x</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the Fisher's Protected least significant difference.

Table 3. Turfgrass quality on a *Poa annua* fairway following the application of plant growth regulators, 2019.

Treatment and rate per 1000ft <sup>2</sup>		App Code <sup>y</sup>	Quality <sup>z</sup>					
			29 Apr	6 May	13 May	20 May	27 May	3 Jun
1	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AB	7.5 a <sup>x</sup>	7.8 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
2	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DE	7.8 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
3	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AC	7.8 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
4	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DF	7.5 a	7.8 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
5	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AB						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AB	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
6	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AC						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AC	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
7	Nontreated .....	-	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
8	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DE						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DE	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
9	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DF						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DF	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
10	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GH						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GH	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
11	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GI						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GI	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
12	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JK						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JK	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
13	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JL						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JL	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a

<sup>z</sup> Quality was visually assessed on a 1 to 9 scale where 1 = entire plot brown or dead and 9 = optimum uniformity and density.

<sup>y</sup> Treatments were applied on the following dates: A = 30 Mar, B = 12 Apr, C = 19 Apr, D = 5 Apr, E = 19 Apr, F = 9 May, G = 9 Apr, H = 23 Apr, I = 30 May, J = 17 Apr, K = 1 May, and L = 9 May.

<sup>x</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the Fisher's Protected least significant difference.

Table 4. Turfgrass color on a *Poa annua* fairway following the application of plant growth regulators, 2019.

Treatment and rate per 1000ft <sup>2</sup>		App Code <sup>y</sup>	Color <sup>z</sup>					
			29 Apr	6 May	13 May	20 May	27 May	3 Jun
1	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AB	6.5 b <sup>x</sup>	7.0 b	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
2	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DE	6.5 b	7.0 b	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
3	Embark 2SC 680 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	AC	6.8 b	7.0 b	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
4	Embark 2SC 800 GDD (22) 0.07 fl oz.....	DF	6.5 b	7.0 b	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
5	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AB						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AB	8.0 a	8.0a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
6	Primo MAXX 200 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	AC						
	Proxy 200 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	AC	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
7	Nontreated .....	-						
8	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DE	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DE	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
9	Primo MAXX 250 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	DF						
	Proxy 250 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	DF	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
10	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GH						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GH	8.0a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
11	Primo MAXX 350 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	GI						
	Proxy 350 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	GI	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
12	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JK						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JK	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a
13	Primo MAXX 500 GDD (32) 0.125 fl oz	JL						
	Proxy 500 GDD (32) 5.0 fl oz.....	JL	8.0 a	8.0a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	8.0 a

<sup>z</sup> Color was visually assessed on a 1 to 9 scale where 1 = entire plot brown or dead and 9 = optimum dark green color.

<sup>y</sup> Treatments were applied on the following dates: A = 30 Mar, B = 12 Apr, C = 19 Apr, D = 5 Apr, E = 19 Apr, F = 9 May, G = 9 Apr, H = 23 Apr, I = 30 May, J = 17 Apr, K = 1 May, and L = 9 May.

<sup>x</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the Fisher's Protected least significant difference.